

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,704	03/04/2004	Satoshi Murayama	MURAYAMA2	5100
1444 7590 10/04/2005			EXAMINER	
	ND NEIMARK, P.L.L.	COONEY, JOHN M		
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			1711	***

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-326 (R		ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0905 GB			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
10/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
_	Application Papers					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
Disposition of Claims						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is			
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	 s action is non-final.				
Status						
Any i earni	Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Period for Reply						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	John m. Cooney pears on the cover sheet with the co	1711 correspondence address			
	omoc Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Office Action Summary	10/791,704	MURAYAMA ET AL.			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
<u> </u>		<u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,704

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bodnar et al.(5,143,945).

Bodnar et al. disclose preparations of rigid polyurethane foams comprising mixing and reacting isocyanate, polyol, water, catalyst, and low molecular weigh acid compounds which read on the compositions and methods of applicants' claims. (see column 4 lines 66 et seq. and column 3 line 60 – column 4 line 28, as well as, the entire document).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,704

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bodnar et al. as applied to claims 1-8 and 13-16 above, and further in view of Tsai (4,673,696).

Bodnar et al. differs from claims 9-12 in that it does not disclose the employment of maleic acid esters. However, Tsai discloses the employment of maleic acid esters as compatibility imparting agents in the preparation of urethane polymers (see abstract, examples, and the entire document). Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed the maleic acid ester compatibility agents of Tsai in the preparations of Bodnar et al. for the purpose of imparting their compatibilizing effects to the materials employed in order to arrive at the products and processes of applicants' claims with the expectation of success in the absence of a showing of new or unexpected results.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Trinks et al.(5,349,040) is cited (column 3 lines 6-9) for its disclosure pertaining to Tsai {cited above} and other relevant materials in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. CODNEY, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3